AN ALTERNATIVE FUNDING MODEL FOR AGRIBUSINESS RESEARCH IN CANADA

ELLIOTT CURRIE AND ADAM DALE
UNIVERSITY OF GUELPH
GUELPH, ONTARIO, CANADA
23RD ANNUAL IFAMA SYMPOSIUM, JUNE 16-20, 2013
ATLANTA, GEORGIA, U.S.A.

BACKGROUND AND PROBLEM

Research Funding Dwindling

Especially Near Market or Applied

Matching Funding Required, % increasing?

Traditional Reliance on Government Funding for Research

Now Matching Funding and Tax Credits

NEED Reliable On-Going Research Funding

Who is Setting the Research Agenda?

GOALS AND PROCEDURES

Need Stable Long-Term Monies for Matching Purposes
We use a three stream model for research funding
"A Small Canadian Controlled Private Corporation (CCPC)
can, for \$100,000 up front initial Investment, generate
\$250,000 annually in Research Dollars, in Perpetuity".

MATCHING GRANTS

Growing Forward II, requires 25% matching funds from industry (funding from Federal and Provincial agricultural ministries)

National Research Council of Canada, Industrial Research Assistance Program (IRAP), requires 50% matching funds,

Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food (OMAF) New Directions requires 50% matching

Now tax credits

TAXATION

Scientific Research & Experimental Development Expenditures (SR&ED) 35% Refundable Federal Tax Credit on eligible expenditures (CCPC)

Large corporations (20% reducing to 15% 2014)

Provincial Credits can be merged up to 34.5% credit PLUS the Federal SR&ED Credits

Capital Gains are Taxed at ½ of Applicable Tax Rate

TAX CREDITS

Example: \$200,000 research grant to match \$200,000 contributed by industry

Receive 35% Refundable tax on \$200,000 = \$70,000

Cost for \$400,000 Research is \$130,000

Merge Provincial Credits of 20%, receive another \$40,000 tax refund

Cost for \$400,000 research is \$90,000

Tax Credits do not cover depreciable assets. 2013 budget

TAX IMPACTS

Large & Public Corporations taxed at 28%

Table 2. Tax Credit Variations and Expenditures (000's)

	Example 1 (35%)	Example 2 (55%)	Example 3 (69.5%)
Taxable Income	300	300	300
Taxes Payable - CCPC rate 15%	45	45	45
Research Expenditure (RE)	200	200	200
Research Tax Credit (35%)	(70)	(110)	(139)
Taxes Payable (refund)	(25)	(65)	(94)
Net Research Expenditure (NRE)	130	90	61
50/50 funding research Impact (RE x	3.08 times	4.44 times	6.56 times
2)/NRE			
Portion of Research paid by CCPC	32.50%	22.50%	15.25%

INVESTMENT STRATEGY

At today's interest rates to generate \$300,000 for research would require \$10 to \$15,000,000

We propose using Capital Markets that only requires a one time investment

Index Options

- Buy in-the-money Put on the S&P 500, 1 ½ to 2 years out
- Sell out-of-the-money Put one month
- Repeat monthly

Considered low risk as covered and if market goes up sold puts worthless, keep the money

If market goes down, purchased Put increases in Value, temporary loss on sold puts, balanced following month

ACTUAL RESULTS

These Gains are Treated as Capital Gains so taxed at $\frac{1}{2}$ the rate, if a CCPC taxed at 7.5%, (if not trading for gain)

Date	Investment	# of	Expenses	Gain \$	Net
	\$	Options	\$		Gain \$
May '08	18,577	1	18,577	1,640	-16,937
Jun '08		1	2,111	3,059	949
Jul '08		1	26,862	29,346	2,484
Aug '08	14,827	2	19,900	3,749	-16,151
Sep '08	21,313	3	77,572	58,009	-19,563
Oct '08		3	146,179	154,816	8,636
Nov '08		3	114,274	124,986	10,711

Sep '11	14	112,527	103,073	-9,455
Oct '11	14	82,186	103,483	21,296
Nov '11	14	43,056	78,413	35,356
Dec '11	0	71,802	242,575	170,773

Notes: Gain for Dec '11 includes the assumed sale of all long options

RESEARCH FUNDING STRUCTURE

Propose that a Co-operative or Association or other Not for Profit Organization, establish a For Profit Subsidiary that is the investment and research arm of the organization

The investment is a one time event, generating ongoing gains

Investment earnings be injected into the Research,

If adequate may not need Government Monies

STRATEGIC OVERVIEW

Co-op or Associations start own for-profit CCPC, and invest one time

Take Capital Gains/Returns and invest in R&D

SR&ED Tax Credit more than offsets the income tax owed

In some cases there can be flow through of net proceeds or tax credits back to the parent and their shareholders

CONCLUSION

Government has own Agenda

Those with the Money make the rules

Need to inject own R&D money

Invest and make Adequate money to meet matching requirements

USE only the "INCOME",

Keep the Principle to generate future cash flows for research

Potentially make own decisions on research

A \$100,000 UP FRONT INITIAL INVESTMENT, **CAN GENERATE \$250,000 ANNUALLY IN** RESEARCH DOLLARS, IN PERPETUITY.

ANY QUESTIONS?