An empirical study of environmental innovation: determinants and performance.

Katja Grekova Dr. Harry Bremmers Dr. Jacques Trienekens Prof. Dr. Onno Omta





Outline

- Background and practical relevance
- Theoretical contribution
- Objective formulation
- Research model
- Materials & Methods
- Results
- Conclusions and managerial implications
- Overview of theoretical contributions



Background: practical relevance

- Social pressure and tightening environmental regulation place the reduction of the environmental impact on the industry agenda and stimulate firms to engage into environmental innovation.
- Environmental innovation refers to new or modified processes, techniques, practices, systems and products to avoid or reduce environmental impact (Kemp et al., 2001).
- Environmental innovation can be attractive for firms due to its potential to generate not only environmental but also economic benefits (Christmann, 2000; Eiadat et al., 2008; Rennings et al., 2006).
- This makes especially important the investigation of:
 - (1) determinants of environmental innovation
 - (2) the potential of environmental innovation to contribute to business performance.



Background: theoretical contribution

Prior research revealed a number of important determinants of environmental innovation (Rennings, 2000; Horbach, 2008):

- Demand side factors
- Supply side factors
- Regulatory/policy factors

However, prior research regarding determinants and performance implication of environmental innovation is not exhaustive:

- 1. Scarce with respect to more recent developments in innovation theory: networking (De Marchi, 2012) and absorptive capacity (De Marchi, 2012; Delmas et al., 2011).
- 2. A lack of understanding regarding the contribution of environmental innovation to business performance (Lin et al., 2013)





The paper aims to:

- investigate the impact of networking and absorptive capacity on environmental innovation taking into account its already established determinants;
- explore the potential of environmental innovation to contribute to business performance;
- by analysing empirical data collected among Dutch food and beverage (F&B) firms.



Research model





Material and methods:

MATERIAL:

- Online survey conducted in 2013 among 473 firms, preceded by phone-calls.
- 135 respondents with >10 employees operating in the Dutch F&B industry
- 28.5% response rate
- Questions answered on 7-point Likert scale

METHODS:

- Exploratory factor analysis (Varimax rotation)
- Confirmatory factor analysis and structural equation modelling (variance-based approach)
- Software SmartPLS 2.0 application (Ringle et al., 2005)
- Bootstrapping with 500 resamples (Chin and Newsted, 1999) to estimate significance of path coefficients and item loadings



















Results: confirmatory factor analysis for measurement model evaluation

- **Indicator reliability**: item loadings above 0.7 (Chin, 1998)
- Internal consistency reliability: measured with Composite reliability index (>0.7) (Fornell and Larcker, 1981; Bagozzi and Yi, 1998)
- **Convergent validity**: average variance extracted (AVE) is above 0.5 threshold (Fornell and Larcker, 1981; Chin, 1998)

	Lowest loading	Composite Reliability	Average variance extracted
Environmental process innovation	0.73	0.88	0.64
Environmental product innovation	0.82	0.84	0.72
Regulation/policy	0.72	0.85	0.58
Demand/market	0.67	0.90	0.64
Networking: supply chain partners	0.91	0.92	0.85
Networking: industry partners	0.77	0.89	0.73
Networking: non-industry partners	0.72	0.92	0.71
Cost efficiency advantage	0.79	0.90	0.75
Market advantage	0.78	0.90	0.69



Results: confirmatory factor analysis for measurement model evaluation

 Discriminant validity: cross-loadings examination and Fornell-Laracker criterion (comparing AVE with construct correlation with other latent variables)n

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Environmental process innovation	0.80								
2	Environmental product innovation	0.48	0.85							
3	Regulation/policy	0.42	0.43	0.76						
4	Demand/market	0.62	0.46	0.48	0.80					
5	Networking: supply chain partners	0.38	0.21	0.28	0.47	0.92				
6	Networking: industry partners	0.39	0.27	0.52	0.39	0.52	0.85			
7	Networking: non-industry partners	0.50	0.19	0.52	0.37	0.52	0.62	0.84		
8	Cost efficiency advantage	0.57	0.31	0.31	0.43	0.31	0.32	0.39	0.87	
9	Market advantage	0.49	0.52	0.40	0.60	0.30	0.37	0.27	0.46	0.83



Results: structural model estimation





Conclusions and managerial implications

Does environmental innovation pay off?

- Our results show that environmental innovation leads to business performance improvements both in terms of cost savings and market advantages.
- Environmental product innovation is recognised by customers/consumers and consequently converted into better firm image, higher quality and price, and the market share.
- Environmental process innovation is associated mostly with cost savings but also leads to market advantages .



Conclusions and managerial implications

What stimulates environmental innovation?

- We found that environmental (process) innovation is positively influenced by absorptive capacity (the firm's ability to recognise the value of new external information, assimilate it and apply to business processes).
- Absorptive capacity is stimulated by networking with supply chain partners and non-industry actors.
- The factors associated with market demand and social awareness have a strong and positive influence on both environmental process and product innovation.
- Environmental regulation and public policy strongly influence environmental product innovation, although their effect on environmental process innovation is not significant.



1. The study proves evidence to the high potential of environmental innovation to contribute to firms' business performance.

2. The results confirm the necessity to include the absorptive capacity and networking capabilities into analysis of determinants of environmental innovation.



The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013 under grant agreement n° 228821.

